



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 150 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,351	10/19/2001	Konstantin Aab	LMPY-15210	2237	
7	590 05/07/2003			•	
Andrew V. Smith .			EXAMINER		
Sierra Patent Group, Ltd. P.O. Box 6149			VY, HU	VY, HUNG T	
Stateline, NV	89449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U			
055	10/035,351	AAB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN NO DATE OF This committee the	Hung T Vy	2828	-1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence ad	aress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 C	<u> October 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		.a.	9.0			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul	TV .			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.		, Paul ii				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	S	SUPERVISORY PATE	NT EXAMINER			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	TECHNOLOGY CE	MIEK 5800			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (d) (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	hava baan raasiyad					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority.		•	Stane			
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Clago			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language product 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the communications dated 10/19/2001, claims 1-47 are pending in this application.

Specification

2. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the

Art Unit: 2828

prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

Claims 1-2,5-17,20-36, and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Algots et al., U.S. patent No. 6,192,064.

Regarding claims 1-2, 7-8,11-14,17, 20, 23,27, and 31-32, Algots et al. discloses an excimer or molecular fluorine laser system, comprising: a discharge chamber (3) filled with a gas mixture at least including molecular fluorine and a buffer gas (See column 8, line 47-48 and column 1, line 28); a plurality of electrodes (See column 1, line 31) within the discharge chamber connected to a discharge circuit for energizing the gas mixture; a resonator including a pair of resonator reflecting surfaces disposed on either side of the discharge chamber for generating a laser beam (See column 1, line 41-60), said resonator further including a deformable third reflecting surface (14)(See column 8, line 66-67 and column 9, line 1-24) disposed between the pair of resonator reflecting surface; a line-narrowing/selection unit (13) within the resonator for narrowing the bandwidth of the laser beam (See column 4, line 16-28); a detector for detecting the bandwidth of the laser beam(See column 5, line 11); and a processor for receiving a signal indicative of said bandwidth from said detector and controlling a surface contour of aid deformable third reflecting surface to control said bandwidth in a feedback arrangement (See column 4, line 64-68 and column 5, line 1-11), deformation means for controllably adjusting the surface contour of said deformable third reflecting surface(14) (See fig 1), a spectrometer for measuring the bandwidth of laser beam (See column 2, line 1-10).

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 5-6,9-10,15-16, 21-22, 24, 28-30,Algots et al. discloses the laser system wherein line-narrowing/selection unit (13) includes beam expander (8,10,12) and dispersive element (16), and wherein said deformable third reflecting surface (14) is disposed between said beam expander and said dispersive element (16), deformable third reflecting surface (14) is disposed just before said dispersive element (16) (See fig 1,2,9,10,11), the dispersive element (16) is a grating serving as one of said pair of resonator reflectors (See fig 2).

With respect to claims 25-26, the methods of adjusting are considered as product by process steps.

Regarding claims 33, 36, 39-42 and 45-47, Algot et al. discloses an excimer or molecular fluorine laser system, comprising: a discharge chamber (3) filled with a gas mixture at least including molecular fluorine and a buffer gas (See column 8, line 47-48 and column 1, line 28); a plurality of electrodes (See column 1, line 31) within the discharge chamber connected to a discharge circuit for energizing the gas mixture; a resonator including a pair of resonator reflecting surfaces disposed on either side of the discharge chamber for generating a laser beam (See column 1, line 41-60), a bidirectional bandwidth controlled folding mirror assembly, the mirror assembly including; a folding mirror; a coupling plate coupling with the mirror (14); an adjustment spindle (15) penetrating through a cavity defined in the coupling plate, and wherein screwing the adjustment spindle in a first direction increase a concavity of a surface contour of the folding mirror, and screwing the adjustment spindle in a second direction opposite to said first direction decreases the concavity of the surface contour of the folding mirror

Art Unit: 2828

(14)(see column 7, line 8-34), further comprising a motor (15) for motorizing the adjustment spindle (see column 7, line 3-5), a piezo (14B) transducer coupled with coupling plate (14C), and wherein operating the piezo in a first direction increases a concavity of the folding mirror, and operating the piezo transducer in a second direction opposite to said first direction decrases a concavity of the folding mirror (See column 7, line 8-23).

Regarding claims 34-35, discloses the resonator comprising at least one spring disposed between a portion of said coupling plate and a head of said adjustment spindle (See fig 6), it is inherent that the resonator further comprising a movable nut on the adjustment spindle as pivot in order to adjust the plate (See fig 9a).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4,18-19, 37-38, and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Algots et al., U.S. patent No. 6,192,064.

Regarding claims 3-4,18-19, 37-38 and 43-44, Algots et al. discloses the claimed invention except for different the deformable third reflecting surface as cylindrical,

Art Unit: 2828

spherical, convex or concave mirror. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to different the deformable third reflecting surface, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Citation of Pertinent References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patent to Everage et al. discloses fast Wavelength correction Technique for Laser, U.S. Patent No. 6,529,531.

The patent to Fomenkov et al. discloses smart Laser with Fast Deformable Grating, U.S. Patent No. 6,493,374.

The patent to Erie et al. discloses smart Laser With Automated Beam Quality Control, U.S. Patent No. 6,212,217.

Conclusion

6. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Page 7

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

April 22, 2003